PROPOSED COUNCIL STUDY ISSUE	
For Calendar Year: 2004	
Continuing	
New	
Previous Year (below line/defer)	\bowtie

NUMBER CDD-8

Issue: Solar access to residential property

Lead Department: Community Development

General Plan Element or Sub-Element: Community Design Sub-Element

1. What are the key elements of the issue? What precipitated it?

This issue originates from concerns about the amount of shadow that new two (or more) story structures cast on adjacent residential property. New residential second stories, multistory commercial structures and mature trees can significantly impact the amount of sun and light a neighboring property receives. Currently the Sunnyvale Municipal Code has a Solar Access Ordinance that limits the amount of roof area shaded by a new building. The intent of this ordinance was to ensure that rooftop solar collectors would not be rendered inoperable by new development. The existing ordinance does not address effects of blocked sunlight on adjacent yards.

The study will examine the impacts associated with shading of residential property, and analyze potential regulations or policies that could address these impacts.

This item was ranked 5 of 5 by the Planning Commission and 12 of 12 by the City Council in 2003.

2. How does this relate to the General Plan or existing City Policy?

Community Design Sub-Element

- **C.5.g** Avoid tall buildings that substantially shade adjoining residential properties.
- **C.5.h** Continue to require additional setbacks for new construction when necessary to preserve the light, air views and privacy of adjoining residential properties.

Land Use and Transportation Element

- **N1.4** Preserve and enhance the high quality character of residential neighborhoods.
- **3.5.D.1** Encourage a built environment which uses the properties of nature for building heating and cooling.

3.5.5E.1 Promote the energy efficiency of existing buildings

3.	Origin of issue:				
	Councilmember:				
	General Plan:				
	Staff:				
	BOARD or COMMISSION				
	Arts		Library		
	Bldg. Code of Appeals		Parks & Rec.		
	CCAB		Personnel		
	Heritage & Preservation		Planning		
	Housing & Human Svcs				
	Board / Commission Rank	ing/Com	ment:		
	Board /	/ Commis	ssion ranked	of	
4.	Due date for Continuing a	nd Mand	atory issues (if known):		
5 .	Multiple Year Project? Ye	s 🗌 N	lo 🗵 Expected Year of	Completion 2004	
6.	Estimated work hours for	completi	ion of the study issue.		
	(a) Estimated work hours	lead department	200		
	(b) Estimated work hours	from con	nsultant(s):		
	(c) Estimated work hours from the City Attorney's Office:			30	
	(d) List any other departments:	ent(s) an	d number of work		
	Department(s):	Public W	/orks	20	
	Total Estimated Hours:			250	
7.	Expected participation inv	olved in	the study issue process	s?	
	(a) Does Council need to a		•	Yes ☐ No ⊠	

	(b) Does this issue require review by a Board/Commission?				No 🗌		
	If so, which Board/Commission	? _	Planning Commission				
	(c) Is a Council Study Session	antio	cipated?	Yes 🗌	No 🖂		
	(d) What is the public participation process?						
	A focus meeting with the public expectations of residential proper will be a part of this process.						
8.	Estimated Fiscal Impact:						
	Cost of Study	\$ 0					
	Capital Budget Costs	\$ 0					
	New Annual Operating Costs	\$ 0					
	New Revenues or Savings	\$ 0					
	10 Year RAP Total	\$0					
dired proje and	Staff Recommendation ☐ Recommended for Study ☐ Against Study ☐ No Recommendation **replain below staff's recommendation if "for" or "against" study. Department rector should also note the relative importance of this study to other major ojects that the department is currently working on or that are soon to begin, and the impact on existing services/priorities.						
	Department Director						
appro	oved by						
	City Manager		Date				